

**Client Name:** Rosehill College

**Report Type:** Progression Report

**Cycles Included:** 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016

## Technical Notes and Definitions

### Note: Provider Tariff Group

This report classifies higher education providers by tariff group instead of Mission Group, in line with standard UCAS analytical reporting. The tariff grouping of providers is based on the average levels of attainment of their accepted applicants (summarised through UCAS Tariff points) in a period of application cycles spanning from 2004 to 2011. Each group of providers accounted for around a third of all UK 18 year old acceptances in these cycles, with the following categories: 'Higher tariff', 'Medium tariff', 'Lower tariff'.

### Note: Graph Clarity and Small Numbers

Graph lines are only plotted where the mean number of applicants or applications over 5 years is above 10 in order to maintain clarity. If no lines meet the criteria then no lines are plotted.

### Note: Offers and Acceptances

'Offer (application level)' refers to main scheme applications that resulted in an offer.

'Offer (applicant level)' refers to applicants who received at least one offer from their main scheme applications.

### Note: Provider Decisions

When a provider receives an application, they can respond with a 'Conditional' offer, an 'Unconditional' offer, or they can make no offer resulting in an 'Unsuccessful' application. Applications that are not in any of these states, including those for which a decision has not been made, are grouped under 'Other'.

### Note: Applicant Replies

When an applicant receives an offer, they may set it as their 'Firm' choice, their 'Insurance' choice or they may 'Decline' it. Offers still awaiting a reply or which have been cancelled are grouped under 'Other'.

### Note: Applicants and Buzz Words

An applicant is only assigned to a school if they used that school's buzz word when making an application.

### Note: Glossary


A glossary page is located at the end of the report.

## 1. Summary

### 1.1 - Offer and Acceptance Rates Graph

Offer and acceptance rates of the applicants

— Offer Rate  
— Acceptance Rate



### 1.2 - Summary Statistics Rates Table

Offer and acceptance rates of the applicants

Statistic	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Offer Rate	83.6%	87.8%	82.6%	85.8%	91.2%
Acceptance Rate	69.9%	79.9%	69.5%	78.5%	75.1%

### 1.3 - Summary Statistics Data Table

Summary statistics of applicants, offers, and acceptances


Statistic	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Applicants	186	194	200	205	205
Main Scheme Applicants	177	180	184	190	193
Applications Made	745	751	782	804	823
Applicants Offered	148	158	152	163	176
Total Offers	442	473	490	541	552
Acceptances	130	155	139	161	154

## 2. Acceptance Route

### 2.1 - Acceptance Route Proportion Graph

The proportion of applicant acceptances by acceptance route

Adjustment  
 Clearing (Direct)  
 Clearing (Main Scheme)  
 Extra  
 Firm Choice  
 Insurance Choice  
 Other Main Scheme  
 RPA



### 2.2 - Acceptance Route Proportion Table

The proportion of applicant acceptances by acceptance route

Route	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Adjustment	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Firm Choice	73.8%	70.3%	70.5%	77.0%	76.6%
Insurance Choice	6.2%	8.4%	7.9%	6.2%	5.8%
Extra	2.3%	0.6%	1.4%	1.9%	0.6%
Clearing (Main Scheme)	10.0%	9.0%	10.8%	5.6%	6.5%
Clearing (Direct)	2.3%	5.8%	2.2%	3.1%	3.9%
Other Main Scheme	2.3%	3.2%	1.4%	2.5%	3.2%
RPA	2.3%	2.6%	5.8%	3.7%	3.2%

### 2.3 - Acceptance Route Data Table


The number of applicant acceptances by acceptance route

Route	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Adjustment	1	0	0	0	0
Firm Choice	96	109	98	124	118
Insurance Choice	8	13	11	10	9
Extra	3	1	2	3	1
Clearing (Main Scheme)	13	14	15	9	10
Clearing (Direct)	3	9	3	5	6
Other Main Scheme	3	5	2	4	5
RPA	3	4	8	6	5
Total Acceptances	130	155	139	161	154

### 3. Provider Decisions

#### 3.1 - Provider Decisions Proportion Graph

The proportion of main scheme applications by decision status



#### 3.2 - Provider Decisions Proportion Table

The proportion of main scheme applications by decision status

Decision	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Conditional	52.3%	57.3%	54.1%	60.1%	57.7%
Unconditional	7.0%	5.7%	8.6%	7.2%	9.4%
Unsuccessful	32.5%	27.6%	29.4%	23.0%	23.6%
Other	8.2%	9.5%	7.9%	9.7%	9.4%

#### 3.3 - Provider Decisions Data Table


The number of main scheme applications by decision status

Decision	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Conditional	390	430	423	483	475
Unconditional	52	43	67	58	77
Unsuccessful	242	207	230	185	194
Other	61	71	62	78	77
Total	745	751	782	804	823

## 4. Applicant Replies

### 4.1 - Applicant Reply Proportion Graph

The proportion of offers by reply status



### 4.2 - Applicant Reply Proportion Table

The proportion of offers by reply status

Applicant Reply	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Firm Reply	29.6%	30.7%	28.4%	27.7%	28.6%
Insurance Reply	20.1%	19.7%	19.8%	20.0%	19.2%
Decline	47.1%	46.7%	51.2%	51.6%	51.1%
Other	3.2%	3.0%	0.6%	0.7%	1.1%

### 4.3 - Applicant Reply Data Table


The number of offers by reply status

Applicant Reply	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Firm Reply	131	145	139	150	158
Insurance Reply	89	93	97	108	106
Decline	208	221	251	279	282
Other	14	14	3	4	6
Total Offers	442	473	490	541	552

## 5. Decisions and Replies

### 5.1 - Decisions and Replies Graph

The proportion of main scheme choices that become acceptances by decision and reply at June deadline



### 5.2 - Decisions and Replies Rates Table

The proportion of main scheme choices that become acceptances by decision and reply at June deadline

Decision and Reply	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Conditional Firm	70.1%	71.3%	63.1%	79.3%	68.9%
Conditional Insurance	8.4%	12.1%	12.0%	9.6%	7.1%
Conditional Other	8.3%	21.4%	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%
Unconditional Firm	87.5%	95.7%	100.0%	96.6%	92.3%
Unconditional Insurance	16.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Unconditional Other	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unsuccessful	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	1.6%	2.8%	1.6%	2.6%	5.2%
All	14.4%	16.9%	14.2%	17.2%	16.0%

### 5.3 - Decisions and Replies Data Table

The number of applications and acceptances by decision and reply for main scheme choices

Decision and Reply		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Conditional Firm	Applications	107	122	111	121	119
	Applications Accepted	75	87	70	96	82
Conditional Insurance	Applications	83	91	92	104	98
	Applications Accepted	7	11	11	10	7
Conditional Decline	Applications	188	203	218	254	252
	Applications Accepted	0	0	0	0	0
Conditional Other	Applications	12	14	2	4	6
	Applications Accepted	1	3	0	2	1
Unconditional Firm	Applications	24	23	28	29	39
	Applications Accepted	21	22	28	28	36
Unconditional Insurance	Applications	6	2	5	4	8
	Applications Accepted	1	2	0	0	2
Unconditional Decline	Applications	20	18	33	25	30
	Applications Accepted	0	0	0	0	0
Unconditional Other	Applications	2	0	1	0	0
	Applications Accepted	1	0	1	0	0
Unsuccessful	Applications	242	207	230	185	194
	Applications Accepted	0	0	0	0	0
Other	Applications	61	71	62	78	77
	Applications Accepted	1	2	1	2	4
All	Applications	745	751	782	804	823
	Applications Accepted	107	127	111	138	132



## 6. Sex - Acceptances

### 6.1 - Acceptance Rates by Sex Graph

The acceptance rates by sex

Men  
Women  
All



### 6.2 - Acceptance Rates by Sex Table

The acceptance rates by sex

Sex	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Men	66.7%	79.3%	74.5%	83.7%	73.7%
Women	72.5%	80.4%	65.1%	74.3%	76.4%
All	69.9%	79.9%	69.5%	78.5%	75.1%

### 6.3 - Acceptances by Sex Data Table

The number of applicants and acceptances by sex

Sex		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Men	Applicants	84	87	94	92	99
	Applicants Accepted	56	69	70	77	73
Women	Applicants	102	107	106	113	106
	Applicants Accepted	74	86	69	84	81
All	Applicants	186	194	200	205	205
	Applicants Accepted	130	155	139	161	154

## 7. Sex - Offers

### 7.1 - Offer Rates (Applicant Level) by Sex Graph

The offer rates (applicant level) by sex

Men  
Women  
All



### 7.2 - Offer Rates (Applicant Level) by Sex Table

The offer rates (applicant level) by sex

Sex	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Men	84.4%	90.1%	83.3%	89.5%	93.7%
Women	83.0%	85.9%	82.0%	82.7%	88.8%
All	83.6%	87.8%	82.6%	85.8%	91.2%

### 7.3 - Offers by Sex Data Table



The number of main scheme applicants and offers by sex

Sex		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Men	Main Scheme Applicants	77	81	84	86	95
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	65	73	70	77	89
Women	Main Scheme Applicants	100	99	100	104	98
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	83	85	82	86	87
All	Main Scheme Applicants	177	180	184	190	193
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	148	158	152	163	176

## 8. Age Group - Acceptances

### 8.1 - Acceptance Rates by Age Group Graph

The acceptance rates by age group



### 8.2 - Acceptance Rates by Age Group Table

The acceptance rates by age group

Age Group	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
17 and under	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	60.0%	50.0%
18	78.0%	88.2%	75.0%	87.8%	72.7%
19	78.8%	83.3%	88.1%	81.8%	84.8%
20	64.3%	66.7%	81.3%	85.0%	73.3%
21 and over	55.4%	71.2%	50.9%	56.1%	72.2%
All	69.9%	79.9%	69.5%	78.5%	75.1%

### 8.3 - Acceptances by Age Group Data Table


The number of applicants and acceptances by age group

Age Group		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
17 and under	Applicants	1	4	5	10	2
	Applicants Accepted	0	1	0	6	1
18	Applicants	82	93	80	90	88
	Applicants Accepted	64	82	60	79	64
19	Applicants	33	30	42	44	46
	Applicants Accepted	26	25	37	36	39
20	Applicants	14	15	16	20	15
	Applicants Accepted	9	10	13	17	11
21 and over	Applicants	56	52	57	41	54
	Applicants Accepted	31	37	29	23	39
All	Applicants	186	194	200	205	205
	Applicants Accepted	130	155	139	161	154

## 9. Age Group - Offers

### 9.1 - Offer Rates (Applicant Level) by Age Group Graph

The offer rates (applicant level) by age group



### 9.2 - Offer Rates (Applicant Level) by Age Group Table

The offer rates (applicant level) by age group

Age Group	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
17 and under	100.0%	75.0%	60.0%	80.0%	100.0%
18	96.3%	92.4%	92.3%	95.5%	96.5%
19	87.1%	92.9%	92.5%	90.2%	93.2%
20	84.6%	92.3%	83.3%	80.0%	84.6%
21 and over	61.5%	74.4%	61.2%	60.0%	81.3%
All	83.6%	87.8%	82.6%	85.8%	91.2%

### 9.3 - Offers by Age Group Data Table

The number of main scheme applicants and offers by age group


Age Group		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
17 and under	Main Scheme Applicants	1	4	5	10	2
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	1	3	3	8	2
18	Main Scheme Applicants	80	92	78	89	86
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	77	85	72	85	83
19	Main Scheme Applicants	31	28	40	41	44
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	27	26	37	37	41
20	Main Scheme Applicants	13	13	12	15	13
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	11	12	10	12	11
21 and over	Main Scheme Applicants	52	43	49	35	48
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	32	32	30	21	39
All	Main Scheme Applicants	177	180	184	190	193
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	148	158	152	163	176

## 10. Ethnic Group - Acceptances

### 10.1 - Acceptance Rates by Ethnic Group Graph

The acceptance rates by ethnic group

Asian  
 Black  
 Chinese  
 Mixed  
 Other  
 Unknown  
 White  
 All



### 10.2 - Acceptance Rates by Ethnic Group Table

The acceptance rates by ethnic group

Ethnic Group	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Asian	83.3%	85.7%	60.0%	80.0%	78.6%
Black	56.3%	90.0%	63.2%	80.0%	78.6%
Chinese	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Mixed	80.0%	66.7%	80.0%	75.0%	100.0%
Other	100.0%	75.0%	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%
Unknown	42.4%	42.4%	50.0%	64.3%	67.5%
White	77.8%	89.0%	79.2%	84.4%	76.2%
All	69.9%	79.9%	69.5%	78.5%	75.1%

### 10.3 - Acceptances by Ethnic Group Data Table

The number of applicants and acceptances by ethnic group


Ethnic Group		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Asian	Applicants	12	14	10	20	14
	Applicants Accepted	10	12	6	16	11
Black	Applicants	16	10	19	10	14
	Applicants Accepted	9	9	12	8	11
Chinese	Applicants	1	0	1	0	3
	Applicants Accepted	0	0	0	0	3
Mixed	Applicants	5	6	5	8	2
	Applicants Accepted	4	4	4	6	2
Other	Applicants	2	4	1	3	2
	Applicants Accepted	2	3	0	1	1
Unknown	Applicants	33	33	44	42	40
	Applicants Accepted	14	14	22	27	27
White	Applicants	117	127	120	122	130
	Applicants Accepted	91	113	95	103	99
All	Applicants	186	194	200	205	205
	Applicants Accepted	130	155	139	161	154



## 11. Ethnic Group - Offers

### 11.1 - Offer Rates (Applicant Level) by Ethnic Group Graph

The offer rates (applicant level) by ethnic group



### 11.2 - Offer Rates (Applicant Level) by Ethnic Group Table

The offer rates (applicant level) by ethnic group

Ethnic Group	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Asian	88.9%	72.7%	87.5%	80.0%	100.0%
Black	66.7%	80.0%	73.3%	77.8%	75.0%
Chinese	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Mixed	40.0%	100.0%	100.0%	85.7%	100.0%
Other	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%
Unknown	90.3%	75.0%	78.9%	82.1%	89.5%
White	86.0%	93.2%	84.5%	89.3%	91.8%
All	83.6%	87.8%	82.6%	85.8%	91.2%

### 11.3 - Offers (applicant level) by Ethnic Group Data Table


The number of main scheme applicants and offers by ethnic group

Ethnic Group		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Asian	Main Scheme Applicants	9	11	8	20	14
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	8	8	7	16	14
Black	Main Scheme Applicants	15	10	15	9	12
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	10	8	11	7	9
Chinese	Main Scheme Applicants	1	0	1	0	3
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	0	0	0	0	3
Mixed	Main Scheme Applicants	5	6	5	7	2
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	2	6	5	6	2
Other	Main Scheme Applicants	2	3	1	3	2
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	2	2	1	2	2
Unknown	Main Scheme Applicants	31	32	38	39	38
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	28	24	30	32	34
White	Main Scheme Applicants	114	118	116	112	122
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	98	110	98	100	112
All	Main Scheme Applicants	177	180	184	190	193
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	148	158	152	163	176

## 12. Applicants Applied by UCAS Deadline

### 12.1 - Proportion Applied by UCAS Deadlines Graph

The proportion of applicants that applied by the UCAS deadlines



### 12.2 - Proportion Applied by UCAS Deadlines Table

The proportion of applicants that applied by the UCAS deadlines

Deadline	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
October	8.6%	8.2%	11.0%	11.2%	13.2%
January	75.8%	68.0%	71.5%	68.8%	69.3%
March	4.8%	6.7%	4.0%	3.9%	2.9%
June	5.9%	9.8%	5.5%	9.3%	8.8%
Post June	10.8%	17.0%	13.5%	16.1%	14.6%

### 12.3 - Applied by UCAS Deadlines Data Table


The number of applicants that applied by the UCAS deadlines

Deadline	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
October	16	16	22	23	27
January	141	132	143	141	142
March	9	13	8	8	6
June	11	19	11	19	18
Post June	9	14	16	14	12
Total	186	194	200	205	205

## 13. Provider Tariff Group - Acceptances

### 13.1 - Applicant Placement Proportion by Provider Tariff Group Graph

The applicant placement proportion by the tariff group of the accepting provider



### 13.2 - Applicant Placement Proportion by Provider Tariff Group Table

The applicant placement proportion by the tariff group of the accepting provider

Tariff Group	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Lower Tariff	32.3%	34.0%	28.0%	28.8%	26.8%
Medium Tariff	18.3%	26.3%	25.0%	25.4%	23.4%
Higher Tariff	19.4%	19.6%	16.5%	24.4%	24.9%
Unplaced	30.1%	20.1%	30.5%	21.5%	24.9%

### 13.3 - Provider Tariff Group Data Table

The number of acceptances by the tariff group of the accepting provider

Tariff Group	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Lower Tariff	60	66	56	59	55
Medium Tariff	34	51	50	52	48
Higher Tariff	36	38	33	50	51
Total Acceptances	130	155	139	161	154
Unplaced	56	39	61	44	51
Total Applicants	186	194	200	205	205

## 14. Provider Tariff Group - Offers

### 14.1 - Offer Rates (Application Level) by Provider Tariff Group Graph

The offer rates (application level) by the tariff group of the provider applied to

— Lower Tariff  
— Medium Tariff  
— Higher Tariff  
— All



### 14.2 - Offer Rates (Application Level) by Provider Tariff Group Table

The offer rates (application level) by the tariff group of the provider applied to

Tariff Group	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Lower Tariff	61.0%	69.9%	61.7%	72.0%	61.6%
Medium Tariff	61.4%	67.6%	67.1%	66.3%	75.8%
Higher Tariff	55.1%	52.3%	59.5%	63.6%	64.2%
All	59.3%	63.0%	62.7%	67.3%	67.1%

### 14.3 - Provider Tariff Group Data Table


The number of offers by the tariff group of the provider applied to

Tariff Group		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Lower Tariff	Applications to	295	246	266	264	242
	Received Offers	180	172	164	190	149
Medium Tariff	Applications to	223	241	252	276	260
	Received Offers	137	163	169	183	197
Higher Tariff	Applications to	227	264	264	264	321
	Received Offers	125	138	157	168	206
All	Applications to	745	751	782	804	823
	Received Offers	442	473	490	541	552

## 15. Applicant Tariff Band - Acceptances

### 15.1 - Applicant Tariff Band Acceptance Rates Graph

The acceptance rates by applicant tariff band



### 15.2 - Applicant Tariff Band Acceptance Rates Table

The acceptance rates by applicant tariff band

Tariff Band	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
No Points	57.3%	65.3%	54.4%	70.7%	70.2%
1 - 99	28.6%	100.0%	25.0%	33.3%	0.0%
100 - 199	63.6%	40.0%	57.1%	70.0%	60.0%
200 - 299	85.2%	93.9%	86.7%	75.9%	71.9%
300 - 399	81.1%	87.2%	88.9%	87.0%	75.0%
400 - 499	76.5%	95.5%	70.6%	95.5%	96.4%
500 +	100.0%	100.0%	93.8%	92.3%	80.0%
All	69.9%	79.9%	69.5%	78.5%	75.1%

### 15.3 - Applicant Tariff Band Acceptances Data Table


The number of applicants and acceptances by applicant tariff band

Tariff Band		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
No Points	Applicants	75	72	90	82	84
	Applicants Accepted	43	47	49	58	59
1 - 99	Applicants	7	4	4	3	0
	Applicants Accepted	2	4	1	1	0
100 - 199	Applicants	11	10	7	10	10
	Applicants Accepted	7	4	4	7	6
200 - 299	Applicants	27	33	30	29	32
	Applicants Accepted	23	31	26	22	23
300 - 399	Applicants	37	39	36	46	36
	Applicants Accepted	30	34	32	40	27
400 - 499	Applicants	17	22	17	22	28
	Applicants Accepted	13	21	12	21	27
500 +	Applicants	12	14	16	13	15
	Applicants Accepted	12	14	15	12	12
All	Applicants	186	194	200	205	205
	Applicants Accepted	130	155	139	161	154

## 16. Applicant Tariff Band - Offers

### 16.1 - Applicant Tariff Band Offer Rates (Applicant Level) Graph

The offer rates (applicant level) by applicant tariff band



### 16.2 - Applicant Tariff Band Offer Rates (Applicant Level) Table

The offer rates (applicant level) by applicant tariff band

Tariff Band	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
No Points	71.2%	75.9%	67.6%	73.1%	83.3%
1 - 99	57.1%	75.0%	25.0%	66.7%	0.0%
100 - 199	90.9%	80.0%	85.7%	80.0%	100.0%
200 - 299	96.3%	97.0%	100.0%	89.7%	93.8%
300 - 399	97.3%	92.3%	97.2%	95.7%	91.7%
400 - 499	88.2%	95.5%	82.4%	95.5%	100.0%
500 +	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
All	83.6%	87.8%	82.6%	85.8%	91.2%



### 16.3 - Applicant Tariff Band Offers Data Table

The number of applicants and offers by applicant tariff band

Tariff Band		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
No Points	Main Scheme Applicants	66	58	74	67	72
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	47	44	50	49	60
1 - 99	Main Scheme Applicants	7	4	4	3	0
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	4	3	1	2	0
100 - 199	Main Scheme Applicants	11	10	7	10	10
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	10	8	6	8	10
200 - 299	Main Scheme Applicants	27	33	30	29	32
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	26	32	30	26	30
300 - 399	Main Scheme Applicants	37	39	36	46	36
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	36	36	35	44	33
400 - 499	Main Scheme Applicants	17	22	17	22	28
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	15	21	14	21	28
500 +	Main Scheme Applicants	12	14	16	13	15
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	10	14	16	13	15
All	Main Scheme Applicants	177	180	184	190	193
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	148	158	152	163	176

## 17. Detailed Applicant Tariff Band - Acceptances

### 17.1 - Detailed Applicant Tariff Band Acceptances Data Table

The number of applicants and acceptances by detailed applicant tariff band

Tariff Band		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1 - 39	Applicants	3	1	4	2	0
	Applicants Accepted	1	1	1	1	0
40 - 79	Applicants	2	2	0	1	0
	Applicants Accepted	1	2	0	0	0
80 - 119	Applicants	2	2	0	1	1
	Applicants Accepted	0	1	0	0	0
120 - 159	Applicants	7	5	1	2	1
	Applicants Accepted	5	3	0	1	0
160 - 199	Applicants	4	4	6	7	8
	Applicants Accepted	2	1	4	6	6
200 - 239	Applicants	12	8	9	6	8
	Applicants Accepted	9	7	7	4	4
240 - 279	Applicants	8	15	12	14	15
	Applicants Accepted	7	14	10	10	10
280 - 319	Applicants	15	20	19	20	13
	Applicants Accepted	14	16	17	14	12
320 - 359	Applicants	16	13	14	15	17
	Applicants Accepted	10	13	14	15	11
360 - 399	Applicants	13	16	12	20	15
	Applicants Accepted	13	15	10	19	13
400 - 439	Applicants	8	14	10	14	13
	Applicants Accepted	6	13	6	14	13
440 - 479	Applicants	7	6	7	6	11
	Applicants Accepted	5	6	6	5	10
480 - 519	Applicants	6	6	3	4	5
	Applicants Accepted	6	6	2	3	5
520 - 559	Applicants	5	3	6	5	6
	Applicants Accepted	5	3	6	5	4
560 - 599	Applicants	0	4	3	4	4
	Applicants Accepted	0	4	3	4	4
600 - 639	Applicants	2	2	1	1	3
	Applicants Accepted	2	2	1	1	2
640 - 679	Applicants	0	1	2	0	0
	Applicants Accepted	0	1	2	0	0
680 - 719	Applicants	1	0	0	1	0
	Applicants Accepted	1	0	0	1	0
720 - 759	Applicants	0	0	1	0	0
	Applicants Accepted	0	0	1	0	0
760 - 799	Applicants	0	0	0	0	1
	Applicants Accepted	0	0	0	0	1
800 +	Applicants	0	0	0	0	0
	Applicants Accepted	0	0	0	0	0

## 17.2 - Acceptance Rates by Detailed Tariff Band Table

The acceptance rates by detailed applicant tariff band

Tariff Band	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1 - 39	33.3%	100.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%
40 - 79	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
80 - 119	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
120 - 159	71.4%	60.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
160 - 199	50.0%	25.0%	66.7%	85.7%	75.0%
200 - 239	75.0%	87.5%	77.8%	66.7%	50.0%
240 - 279	87.5%	93.3%	83.3%	71.4%	66.7%
280 - 319	93.3%	80.0%	89.5%	70.0%	92.3%
320 - 359	62.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	64.7%
360 - 399	100.0%	93.8%	83.3%	95.0%	86.7%
400 - 439	75.0%	92.9%	60.0%	100.0%	100.0%
440 - 479	71.4%	100.0%	85.7%	83.3%	90.9%
480 - 519	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	75.0%	100.0%
520 - 559	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%
560 - 599	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
600 - 639	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%
640 - 679	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
680 - 719	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
720 - 759	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
760 - 799	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
800 +	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

## 18. Detailed Applicant Tariff Band - Offers

### 18.1 - Detailed Applicant Tariff Band Offers Data Table

The number of applicants and offers by detailed applicant tariff band

Tariff Band		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1 - 39	Main Scheme Applicants	3	1	4	2	0
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	1	1	1	1	0
40 - 79	Main Scheme Applicants	2	2	0	1	0
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	2	2	0	1	0
80 - 119	Main Scheme Applicants	2	2	0	1	1
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	1	0	0	1	1
120 - 159	Main Scheme Applicants	7	5	1	2	1
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	7	5	1	1	1
160 - 199	Main Scheme Applicants	4	4	6	7	8
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	3	3	5	6	8
200 - 239	Main Scheme Applicants	12	8	9	6	8
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	11	8	9	5	6
240 - 279	Main Scheme Applicants	8	15	12	14	15
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	8	14	12	12	15
280 - 319	Main Scheme Applicants	15	20	19	20	13
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	15	19	18	19	12
320 - 359	Main Scheme Applicants	16	13	14	15	17
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	15	13	14	14	15
360 - 399	Main Scheme Applicants	13	16	12	20	15
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	13	14	12	20	15
400 - 439	Main Scheme Applicants	8	14	10	14	13
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	6	13	8	13	13
440 - 479	Main Scheme Applicants	7	6	7	6	11
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	7	6	6	6	11
480 - 519	Main Scheme Applicants	6	6	3	4	5
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	6	6	3	4	5
520 - 559	Main Scheme Applicants	5	3	6	5	6
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	3	3	6	5	6
560 - 599	Main Scheme Applicants	0	4	3	4	4
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	0	4	3	4	4
600 - 639	Main Scheme Applicants	2	2	1	1	3
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	2	2	1	1	3
640 - 679	Main Scheme Applicants	0	1	2	0	0
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	0	1	2	0	0
680 - 719	Main Scheme Applicants	1	0	0	1	0
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	1	0	0	1	0
720 - 759	Main Scheme Applicants	0	0	1	0	0
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	0	0	1	0	0
760 - 799	Main Scheme Applicants	0	0	0	0	1
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	0	0	0	0	1
800 +	Main Scheme Applicants	0	0	0	0	0
	Main Scheme Applicants Offered	0	0	0	0	0

## 18.2 - Offer Rates (Applicant Level) by Detailed Applicant Tariff Band Table

The offer rates (applicant level) by detailed applicant tariff band

Tariff Band	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1 - 39	33.3%	100.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%
40 - 79	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
80 - 119	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
120 - 159	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%
160 - 199	75.0%	75.0%	83.3%	85.7%	100.0%
200 - 239	91.7%	100.0%	100.0%	83.3%	75.0%
240 - 279	100.0%	93.3%	100.0%	85.7%	100.0%
280 - 319	100.0%	95.0%	94.7%	95.0%	92.3%
320 - 359	93.8%	100.0%	100.0%	93.3%	88.2%
360 - 399	100.0%	87.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
400 - 439	75.0%	92.9%	80.0%	92.9%	100.0%
440 - 479	100.0%	100.0%	85.7%	100.0%	100.0%
480 - 519	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
520 - 559	60.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
560 - 599	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
600 - 639	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
640 - 679	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
680 - 719	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
720 - 759	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
760 - 799	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
800 +	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

## 19. Subject Group - Acceptances

### 19.1 - Acceptances by Subject Group Table

The number of acceptances by subject group

Subject Groups	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Group A Medicine and Dentistry	2	4	1	6	3
Group B Subjects Allied to Medicine	15	18	19	15	12
Group C Biological Sciences	12	18	14	20	13
Group D Veterinary Sciences, Agriculture and related subjects	0	3	2	2	2
Group F Physical Sciences	7	6	5	12	10
Group G Mathematical Sciences	2	4	3	2	4
Group H Engineering	6	5	9	8	9
Group I Computer Sciences	3	8	4	12	7
Group J Technologies	0	0	2	1	0
Group K Architecture, Building and Planning	2	4	4	2	1
Group L Social studies	11	9	13	12	13
Group M Law	8	5	5	7	10
Group N Business and Administrative studies	17	16	16	18	16
Group P Mass Communication and Documentation	3	1	5	6	7
Group Q Linguistics, Classics and related subjects	3	3	3	4	2
Group R European Languages, Literature and related subjects	1	0	0	0	0
Group T Eastern, Asiatic, African, American and Australasian Languages, Literature and related subjects	1	1	0	0	0
Group V Historical and Philosophical studies	1	5	3	6	4
Group W Creative Arts and Design	14	16	11	12	21
Group X Education	5	8	3	5	5
Y Combined arts	5	3	4	4	1
Y Combined sciences	2	1	3	0	1
Y Combined social sciences	2	2	0	1	0
Y Sciences combined with social sciences or arts	4	7	2	2	3
Y Social sciences combined with arts	3	4	4	3	6
Z General, other combined & unknown	1	4	4	1	4
Total	130	155	139	161	154

## 20. Most Popular Providers by Acceptances, Offers and Applications

### 20.1 - Most Popular Providers Acceptances Table

The most popular providers for applicants in 2016 by acceptances

2016 Rank		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1	University of Portsmouth	0	1	3	2	5
2	Falmouth University	0	0	0	0	4
	Liverpool John Moores University (LJMU)	1	0	3	1	4
	Northumbria University	1	2	1	3	4
	The Manchester Metropolitan University	0	1	2	4	4
	The University of Birmingham	1	2	0	0	4
	The University of Manchester	0	2	1	5	4
8	Cardiff University	5	3	1	3	3
	Durham University	1	1	1	2	3
	Lancaster University	1	0	0	1	3
	Leeds Beckett University	3	3	3	6	3
	Nottingham Trent University	3	3	3	7	3
	Oxford University	0	0	2	1	3
	Plymouth University	2	2	1	1	3
	University of Bristol	1	0	1	2	3

### 20.2 - Most Popular Providers Offers Table

The most popular providers for applicants in 2016 by offers

2016 Rank		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1	The University of Birmingham	10	7	7	6	14
	The University of Warwick	4	6	7	6	14
3	The University of Manchester	3	8	18	11	13
	University of Southampton	7	1	6	2	13
5	Nottingham Trent University	9	12	12	10	12
	Sheffield Hallam University	3	11	7	7	12
	University of Exeter	3	6	4	11	12
8	Durham University	2	0	7	6	11
	Plymouth University	5	5	2	4	11
	The Manchester Metropolitan University	6	7	15	13	11
	University of Bristol	4	3	9	13	11
	University of Portsmouth	5	5	8	8	11
13	King's College London (University of London)	2	2	3	7	10
	The University of Nottingham	7	3	11	4	10
	UCL (University College London)	4	1	4	6	10

### 20.3 - Most Popular Providers Applications Table

The most popular providers for applicants in 2016 by main scheme applications

2016 Rank		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1	University of Southampton	13	6	8	5	21
2	The University of Warwick	6	10	10	9	20
3	The University of Birmingham	16	12	13	10	18
	The University of Manchester	10	19	18	14	18
5	King's College London (University of London)	11	5	9	7	17
6	University of Bristol	8	7	12	19	16
7	The Manchester Metropolitan University	20	10	17	16	15
	UCL (University College London)	7	12	14	12	15
	University of Bath	2	4	10	6	15
10	Durham University	5	4	11	8	14
	The University of Edinburgh	13	15	18	11	14
12	Sheffield Hallam University	7	17	14	13	13
	University of Exeter	4	6	4	16	13
	University of the West of Scotland	2	4	14	6	13
15	Nottingham Trent University	13	16	12	12	12



## 21. Most Popular Detailed Subject Groups by Acceptances, Offers and Applications

### 21.1 - Most Popular Detailed Subject Groups Acceptances Table

The most popular detailed subject groups for applicants in 2016 by acceptances

2016 Rank		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1	B7 - Nursing	8	9	11	7	9
	M1 - Law by Area	7	5	5	7	9
3	W2 - Design studies	5	7	5	6	8
4	L5 - Social Work	4	1	6	4	5
	N2 - Management studies	0	3	2	3	5
	W6 - Cinematics and Photography	3	2	0	1	5
	Y Combs of social studies/bus/law with arts/humanities	2	3	3	0	5
8	I1 - Computer Science	2	6	3	7	4
	V1 - History by Period	1	2	2	4	4
	X3 - Academic studies in Education	4	6	2	3	4
	Z Combs of 3 subjects, or other general courses	1	4	4	1	4
12	C1 - Biology	1	3	0	2	3
	C6 - Sport and Exercise Science	3	4	5	7	3
	C8 - Psychology	7	7	6	7	3
	G1 - Mathematics	0	4	2	2	3

### 21.2 - Most Popular Detailed Subject Groups Offers Table

The most popular detailed subject groups for applicants in 2016 by offers

2016 Rank		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1	M1 - Law by Area	27	25	21	30	49
2	C8 - Psychology	33	28	13	27	18
	G1 - Mathematics	0	11	14	6	18
	N1 - Business studies	16	16	13	15	18
5	B7 - Nursing	14	17	18	9	17
	NN - Combinations within Business & Admin. Studies	13	23	18	12	17
	P3 - Media studies	7	4	5	10	17
	Y Combs of social studies/bus/law with arts/humanities	7	2	11	2	17
9	C1 - Biology	7	6	3	9	15
	I1 - Computer Science	13	17	8	29	15
	N2 - Management studies	7	12	12	13	15
12	L2 - Politics	8	15	5	8	14
13	L1 - Economics	9	13	6	9	13
	W2 - Design studies	12	15	12	17	13
15	C6 - Sport and Exercise Science	10	15	12	14	12

### 21.3 - Most Popular Detailed Subject Groups Applications Table

The most popular detailed subject groups for applicants in 2016 by main scheme applications

2016 Rank		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1	B7 - Nursing	72	50	95	43	71
2	M1 - Law by Area	32	33	30	35	64
3	C8 - Psychology	44	40	15	35	29
4	W2 - Design studies	29	26	29	39	26
5	NN - Combinations within Business & Admin. Studies	20	30	24	15	25
6	A1 - Pre-clinical Medicine	27	29	19	35	23
	N1 - Business studies	20	24	14	16	23
8	I1 - Computer Science	13	22	14	34	22
	N2 - Management studies	16	16	15	17	22
	P3 - Media studies	10	4	8	13	22
11	Y Combs of social studies/bus/law with arts/humanities	10	6	14	3	20
12	G1 - Mathematics	0	13	15	7	19
13	W4 - Drama	10	20	4	16	18
14	C1 - Biology	9	7	5	12	16
	L1 - Economics	12	14	10	13	16

## 22. Glossary

Term	Definition
<b>Acceptance</b>	An applicant who at the end of the cycle has been placed for entry into higher education.
<b>Acceptance rate</b>	The number of acceptances divided by the number of applicants.
<b>Adjustment</b>	An acceptance route where applicants who have met and exceeded the conditions of their firm choice choose to take up an alternative offer.
<b>Age</b>	This analysis uses country-specific age definitions that align with the cut off points for school and college cohorts within the different administrations of the UK. For England and Wales, ages are defined on the 31 August, for Northern Ireland on the 1 July and for Scotland on the 28 February the following year. Defining ages in this way matches the assignment of children to school cohorts. For applicants outside of the UK the cohort cut off for England and Wales has been used.
<b>Clearing</b>	An acceptance route available late in the application cycle.
<b>Conditional firm</b>	An offer made by a provider dependent on achieving specified conditions which has been accepted by the applicant as their first choice.
<b>Conditional offer</b>	Offer made by provider depend on meeting specified conditions which may include academic and/or non academic conditions.
<b>Detailed Subject Group</b>	Classifies courses into a detailed level of 215 subjects. Each course is assigned up to three valid JACS3 subject codes (e.g. G100 – Mathematics) and a course balance indicator by UCAS, which are available for review by the provider. The course is assigned a subject based on these JACS3 subject codes and balance indicator, it largely correlates to the first two characters of the subject codes. Where there are more than one JACS3 subject codes for a given course, and the balance indicator is dual or triple, the first two characters of each subject code are reported in combination to a relevant category (e.g. Course with dual balance indicator with JACS3 subject codes L370 = Social Theory and M900 = Others in Law is assigned a subject 'Y Combs of soc studies/law').
<b>Extra</b>	An acceptance route where applicants who held no offers after using all five main scheme choices make additional choices.
<b>Firm choice</b>	An offer made by a provider which has been confirmed by the applicant as their first choice. These can be either conditional (dependent on achieving specified conditions) or unconditional (applicant has met specified conditions and are assumed to be accepted or placed at the provider).
<b>Insurance choice</b>	An offer made by a provider which has been confirmed by the applicant as their second choice, in case the conditions of the firm choice are not met. These can be either conditional (dependent on achieving specified requirements) or unconditional (no further requirements to be met).
<b>Main scheme</b>	The main UCAS application scheme through which up to five providers/courses can be applied to. This opens in September and closes to new applications on 30 June the following year.
<b>Offer</b>	Provider decision to grant a place to an applicant; may be subject to satisfying academic and/or other criteria. Based on choice state at the June 30th deadline.
<b>Provider</b>	A higher education provider - a university or college.
<b>Provider tariff group</b>	The grouping of providers based on the average levels of attainment of their accepted applicants (summarised through UCAS Tariff points) in a period of application cycles spanning from 2004 to 2011. Each group of providers accounted for around a third of all UK 18 year old acceptances in these cycles. Each group of providers accounted for around a third of all UK 18 year old acceptances in these cycles, with the following categories: 'Higher tariff', 'Medium tariff' and 'Lower tariff'.
<b>RPA</b>	Record of Prior Acceptance, where an application is submitted to UCAS by a provider when an unconditional firm has been offered and accepted by the applicant.
<b>Tariff</b>	A numerical summary of qualification level.
<b>Unconditional offer</b>	Offer made by provider when it is satisfied that the applicant has met specific conditions.